Synthesis and Characterization of a Copper Complex of $[Et_2N(N_2O_2)]^-$ Stabilized with Respect to NO Release in Aqueous Solution

Jamie L. Schneider, Victor G. Young, Jr., and William B. Tolman*

Department of Chemistry, University of Minnesota, 207 Pleasant Street SE, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

Received April 17, 1996

The wide-ranging biological effects and potential pharmacological implications of nitric oxide (NO)¹ have stimulated interest in developing prodrugs that evolve NO in a controlled manner in aqueous solution.² Important examples are the $[R_2N(N_2O_2)]^{-1}$ ions (NONOates),^{3,4} which have been shown to regenerate 2 equiv of bioactive NO spontaneously in H₂O at rates that depend on pH and the nature of the R groups.⁵ In one strategy to further control NO release rates and increase target specificity, derivatives of these ions were prepared in which the oxygen atoms were alkylated⁶ or metalated.^{7,8} Of note with regard to the latter are several structurally characterized copper complexes of [Et₂N(N₂O₂)]⁻, either homoleptic or having solvent coligands, that are stable in nonaqueous solvents.⁷ However, these typically polynuclear complexes are reported to decompose in H₂O at the same rate as the parent sodium salt, implicating rapid displacement of the NONOate from the metal ion and subsequent NO release via hydrolysis of the free ligand. We hypothesized that, by using an appropriate coligand-(s), copper complexes of NONOates with increased stability and, thus, greater potential as prodrugs for controlled and selective NO release might be accessible. We report that the sterically hindered 1,4,7-triisopropyl-1,4,7-triazacyclononane

- (a) *The Biology of Nitric Oxide*; Moncada, S., Marletta, M. A., Hibbs, J. B., Higgs, E. A., Eds.; Portland Press: London, 1992; Vols. 1 and 2. (b) Feldman, P. L.; Griffith, O. W.; Stuehr, D. J. *Chem. Eng. News* **1993**, *71* (Dec 20), 26–38. (c) Galla, H. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1993**, *32*, 378–380. (d) Marletta, M. A. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1993**, 268, 12231–12234.
- (2) The importance of the rate of NO release, rather than the overall amount, regarding its biological effects has been noted. For recent examples, see: (a) Wink, D. A.; Cook, J. A.; Krishna, M. C.; Hanbauer, I.; DeGraff, W.; Gamson, J.; Mitchell, J. B. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. **1995**, 319, 402–407. (b) Mooradian, D. L.; Hutsell, T. C.; Keefer, L. K. J. Cardiovas. Pharmocol. **1995**, 25, 674–678.
- (3) (a) Drago, R. S.; Paulik, F. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1960, 82, 96–98.
 (b) Drago, R. S.; Karstetter, B. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1961, 83, 1819–1822.
- (4) Hrabie, J. A.; Klose, J. R.; Wink, D. A.; Keefer, L. K. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 1472–1476.
- (5) (a) Maragos, C. M.; Morley, D.; Wink, D. A.; Dunams, T. M.; Saavedra, J. E.; Hoffman, A.; Bove, A. A.; Isaac, L.; Hrabie, J. A.; Keefer, L. K. J. Med. Chem. **1991**, *34*, 3242–3247. (b) Wink, D. A.; Hanbauer, I.; Krishna, M. C.; DeGraff, W.; Gamson, J.; Mitchell, J. B. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **1993**, *90*, 9813–9817. (c) Diodati, J. G.; Quyyumi, A. A.; Hussain, N.; Keefer, L. K. Thromb. Haem. **1993**, *70*, 654–658. (d) Maragos, C. M.; Wang, J. M.; Hrabie, J. A.; Oppenheim, J. J.; Keefer, L. K. Cancer Res. **1993**, *53*, 564–568.
- (6) Saavedra, J. E.; Dunams, T. M.; Flippen-Anderson, J. L.; Keefer, L. K. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 6134–6138.
- (7) (a) Christodoulou, D.; George, C.; Keefer, L. K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1993, 937–939. (b) Christodoulou, D.; Maragos, C. M.; George, C.; Morley, D.; Dunams, T. M.; Wink, D. A.; Keefer, L. K. In Bioinorganic Chemistry of Copper, Karlin, K. D., Tyeklár, Z., Eds.; Chapman & Hall: New York, 1993; pp 427–436. (c) Christodoulou, D.; Wink, D.; George, C. F.; Saavedra, J. E.; Keefer, L. K. In Nitrosomines and Related N-Nitroso Compounds; Loeppky, R. N., Michejda, C. J., Eds.; ACS Symposium Series 553; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1994; pp 307–308. (d) Christodoulou, D. D.; D. A. Wink, J.; Keefer, L. K. U. S. Patent 5 389 675, 1995.
- (8) Complexation of cupferron, NH₄{Ph[N₂O₂]}, a relative of the NON-Oates, to an iron-porphyrin complex has been reported: Yi, G.-B.; Khan, M. A.; Richter-Addo, G. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **1995**, *34*, 5703-5704.

Scheme 1



 $(L^{iPr3})^9$ enables the preparation of a unique mononuclear copper complex of $[Et_2N(N_2O_2)]^-$ that exhibits enhanced stability in aqueous solution at 37 °C but which can be induced to rapidly evolve NO through the addition of acid or thiols.

Admixture of solutions of $[L^{iPr3}Cu(H_2O)(O_3SCF_3)]O_3SCF_3^{10}$ and Na[Et₂N(N₂O₂)] (1.8 equiv) in MeOH at -35 °C afforded a deep blue-green solution and a green precipitate after 30 min. The precipitate (obtained in low and variable yields) was identified as the carbonate complex $[(L^{iPr3}Cu)_2(\mu-\eta^{1}:\eta^2-CO_3)(H_2O)](O_3SCF_3)_2$, **1** (Scheme 1), by comparison of its UV– vis and IR spectra to those of independently synthesized material that was structurally characterized by X-ray crystallography.¹¹ Removal of solvent from the blue-green solution and crystallization from acetone/Et₂O at -20 °C yielded { $L^{iPr3}Cu-$ [Et₂N(N₂O₂)]}O₃SCF₃, **2**, in 49% yield after 3–5 days.¹² Close inspection revealed that the product consisted of a mixture of crystals of two different colors, blue and blue-green. Separate X-ray structure determinations on a crystal of each type revealed them to be slightly different morphological forms of the same

- (9) Haselhorst, G.; Stoetzel, S.; Strassburger, A.; Walz, W.; Wieghardt, K.; Nuber, B. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1993, 83–90.
- (10) Halfen, J. A.; Mahapatra, S.; Wilkinson, E. C.; Gengenbach, A. J.; Young, V. G., Jr.; Que, L., Jr.; Tolman, W. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 763–776.
- (11) Complex 1 was independently prepared by allowing an aqueous solution of [L^{iPr3}Cu(H₂O)(O₃SCF₃)]O₃SCF₃ (0.210 g, 0.330 mmol, in \sim 3 mL) and 1.0 M NaOH (\sim 0.5 mL) to stand in air (the presumed source of CO₂ for the CO₃²⁻ ligand). Green blocklike crystals of 1 deposited after 1 week (15 mg, 9%): mp 184–185 °C; UV–vis [CH₂-Cl₂, nm (ϵ /complex, M⁻¹ cm⁻¹)] 304 (10 800), 388 (2300), 650 (350); FTIR (KBr) 2974, 1539, 1476, 1377, 1278, 1159, 1068, 1032, 962, 765, 720, 639, 570, 519 cm⁻¹; electrospray MS *m/e* (relative intensity) 847 ($[M - H_2O - O_3SCF_3]^+$, 100), 348 ($[L^{1Pr3}CuOC]^+$, 74), 256 ($[L^{1Pr3}]^+$, 63). Anal. Calcd for $C_{33}H_{68}Cu_2F_6N_6O_{10}S_2$: C, 39.08; H, 6.76; N, 8.29. Found: C, 39.25; H, 6.79; N, 8.32. Crystal data: $M_r = 1014.1$, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$, a = 16.7355(4) Å, b = 21.1711(5) Å, c = 13.3955(3) Å, $\beta = 104.441(1)^{\circ}$, V = 4596.2(2) Å³, Z = 4, $2\theta_{\text{max}}$ = 46.52°, $\rho_{\text{calc}} = 1.466 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, T = 173 K. Data were collected using a Siemens SMART system (Mo Ka irradiation), and the structure was solved by direct methods. Full-matrix least-squares refinement on F 2 using SHELXTL V5.0 converged with R1 = 0.0441 and wR2 =0.0916 for 6539 independent reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ and 616 parameters. See the supporting information for a drawing of the structure and listings of structural parameters.

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail: tolman@chem.umn.edu. FAX: 612-624-7029.



Figure 1. Representation of the cation in the X-ray crystal structure of the blue form of $\{L^{iPr3}Cu[\eta^2-Et_2N(N_2O_2)O,O]\}O_3SCF_3$, **2** (50% thermal ellipsoids; all hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity). Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): Cu-O(1), 1.974(4); Cu-O(2), 1.980(4); Cu-N(1), 2.061(4); Cu-N(2), 2.024(5); Cu-N(3), 2.235-(5); O(1)-N(4), 1.302(6); O(2)-N(5), 1.317(6); N(4)-N(5), 1.277-(7); N(5)-N(6), 1.417(7); O(1)-Cu-O(2), 79.1(2); O(1)-Cu-N(2), 176.6(2); O(2)-Cu-N(2), 97.8(2); O(1)-Cu-N(1), 96.7; O(2)-Cu-N(1), 158.0(2); O(1)-Cu-N(3), 94.3(2); O(2)-Cu-N(3), 115.2(2); N(5)-N(4)-O(1), 112.8(4); N(4)-N(5)-O(2), 123.9(5); N(4)-N(5)-N(6), 116.3(5); N(4)-O(1)-Cu, 115.2(3); N(5)-O(2)-Cu, 108.7(3).

compound; a view of the molecule in the blue crystal is shown in Figure 1.¹³ As in other complexes of analogous higher order nitrogen oxides,^{7,8} the $[Et_2N(N_2O_2)]^-$ anion coordinates to copper via both oxygen atoms [Cu-O(1) = 1.974(4) Å, Cu-O(2) = 1.980(4) Å]. The blue and blue-green crystalline forms have almost identical molecular structures, with only slight differences apparent in their metal ion geometries; both are best described as distorted square pyramidal, but with minor divergences in interligand bond angles that are reflected in their τ values ($\tau = 0.31$ for the blue crystal versus $\tau = 0.48$ for the blue-green crystal, where $\tau = 0$ or 1.0 for idealized square pyramidal or trigonal bipyramidal geometries, respectively).¹⁴

- (12) Characterization data for **2**: mp 122–127 °C dec; UV–vis [MeOH, nm (ϵ /complex, M⁻¹ cm⁻¹)] 240 (4060), 278 (4880), 648 (100); FTIR (KBr) 2974, 1496, 1468, 1384, 1265, 1229, 1152, 1075, 1032, 970, 639, 519 cm⁻¹; EPR (MeOH, 9.43 GHz, 77 K) g_{ll} = 2.23, g_⊥ = 2.05, A_{ll}^{Cu} = 171 × 10⁻⁴ cm⁻¹; cyclic voltammetry (0.2 M Bu₄NPF₆ in CH₂Cl₂) $E_{1/2} = -0.78$ V vs SCE, ΔE_p ranging from 110 mV at scan rate 20 mV s⁻¹ to 250 mV at scan rate 500 mV s⁻¹ (quasireversible behavior); electrospray MS m/e (relative intensity) 450 ([M O₃-SCF₃]⁺, 100). Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₄₃CuF₃N₆O₅S: C, 40.02; H, 7.22; N, 14.00. Found: C, 40.14; H, 7.17; N, 13.80.
- (13) Crystal data for blue form of **2**: $C_{20}H_{43}CuF_3N_6O_5S$, $M_r = 600.2$, monoclinic, space group Cc, a = 12.9638(9) Å, b = 28.061(2) Å, c = 8.9906(5) Å, $\beta = 121.567(1)^\circ$, V = 2786.7(3) Å³, $Z = 4, 2\theta_{max} = 46.52^\circ$, $\rho_{calc} = 1.431$ g cm⁻³, T = 173 K. Data were collected using a Siemens SMART system (Mo K α irradiation), and the structure was solved by direct methods. Full-matrix least-squares refinement on F^2 using SHELXTL V5.0 converged with R1 = 0.0327 and wR2 = 0.0921 for 1946 independent reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ and 368 parameters. Crystal data for blue-green form of **2**: $C_{20}H_{43}CuF_3N_6O_5S$, $M_r = 600.2$, monoclinic, space group P_{21}/c , a = 11.2541(2) Å, b =13.9901(1) Å, c = 17.7935(1) Å, $\beta = 94.411(1)^\circ$, V = 2793.22(6)Å³, Z = 4, $2\theta_{max} = 48.22^\circ$, $\rho_{calc} = 1.427$ g cm⁻³, T = 173 K. Data were collected using a Siemens SMART system (Mo K α irradiation), and the structure was solved by direct methods. Full-matrix leastsquares refinement on F^2 using SHELXTL V5.0 converged with R1= 0.0452 and wR2 = 0.1177 for 4340 independent reflections with I > $2\sigma(I)$ and 376 parameters.
- (14) Addison, A. W.; Rao, T. N.; Reedijk, J.; von Rijn, J.; Verschoor, G. C. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1984, 1349–1356.

Although 2 is stable for long periods at ambient temperature as a crystalline solid or in deionized aqueous solution at pH >7, rapid evolution of NO (\sim 80% yield based on a maximum of 2 equiv/complex) occurs upon addition of protonic acids (HCl, HOAc) or thiols (EtSH, C₆F₅SH). The copper-containing coproduct in the case when HOAc was used was identified as [L^{iPr3}Cu(OAc)]O₃SCF₃ (**3**), the X-ray crystal structure of which revealed η^2 -binding of the acetate anion to a square pyramidal Cu(II) center.¹⁵ To compare the rates of NO release from 2 and Na[Et₂N(N₂O₂)], NO evolution from solutions of these species was monitored as a function of time using chemiluminescence detection.¹⁶ Pseudo-first-order rate constants for the decay of 2 and the parent NONOate salt at 37 °C were calculated from the slopes of linear plots of $\ln[(A_{\infty} - A_{\rm t})/(A_{\infty} - A_{\rm 0})]$ vs time (s) from data obtained over 3-4 half-lives (A = area under chemiluminescence response curve in mV·s; see Supporting Information). The observed rate constants were essentially identical in 0.1 M HCl $[k(2) = k(NONOate) = 4.3(4) \times 10^{-2}$ s⁻¹], but 2 decomposed half as fast in 0.1 M HOAc [k(2) = $2.2(3) \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $k(\text{NONOate}) = 5.3(5) \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ or PBS buffer (pH 7.4)¹⁷ $[k(2) = 1.2(1) \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}, k(\text{NONOate}) =$ 2.8(4) \times 10⁻³ s⁻¹]. This divergence in NO evolution rates, although not large, nonetheless verifies the enhanced stability of 2. In addition, it implies a decomposition mechanism for 2 different from that postulated for other copper complexes of [Et₂N(N₂O₂)]^{-,7} which evolve NO in H₂O via rapid ligand dissociation and subsequent hydrolysis at the same rate as the parent sodium salt. Future research will focus on unraveling the mechanism of decomposition of 2 and on developing even more "tunable" NO-releasing prodrugs through strategic derivatizations of the NONOate and the supporting triazacyclononane ligands.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by the National Institutes of Health (Grant GM47365), the National Science Foundation (National Young Investigator Award to W.B.T.), the Camille and Henry Dreyfus and Sloan Foundations (fellowships to W.B.T.), and the Comedicus Corp. We thank Christine Brinkman and Professor Daniel Mooradian for their assistance with the chemiluminescence NO detection experiments, Dr. Larry Keefer for an initial gift of Na[Et₂N(N₂O₂)], and Dr. Samiran Mahapatra for assistance with cyclic voltammetry experiments.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures for the synthesis of all compounds and for the kinetics experiments, plus full details of the X-ray structures of **1**, the two forms of **2**, and **3**, including structural diagrams and tables of atomic coordinates, bond lengths, bond angles, and anisotropic thermal parameters (57 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

IC960414M

- (15) Characterization data for **3**: UV-vis [MeOH, nm (ε/complex, M⁻¹ cm⁻¹)] 302 (5000), 672 (100); FTIR (KBr) 2980, 1637, 1517, 1496, 1468, 1398, 1274, 1258, 1223, 1155, 1068, 1031, 967, 689, 637 cm⁻¹; electrospray MS *m/e* (relative intensity) 377 ([M O₃SCF₃]⁺, 100). Crystal data: C₁₈H₃₃CuF₃N₃O₅S, *M_r* = 524.07, monoclinic, space group *P*2₁/*c*, *a* = 8.7036(1) Å, *b* = 22.7080(1) Å, *c* = 12.3177(2) Å, β = 99.194(1)°, V = 2403.21(5) Å³, *Z* = 4, 2θ_{max} = 48.36°, ρ_{calc} = 1.448 g cm⁻³, *T* = 173 K. Data were collected using a Siemens SMART system (Mo Kα irradiation), and the structure was solved by direct methods. Full-matrix least-squares refinement on *F*² using SHELXTL V5.0 converged with R1 = 0.0449 and wR2 = 0.1202 for 3722 independent reflections with *I* > 2*σ*(*I*) and 319 parameters. See the Supporting Information for a drawing of the structure and listings of structural parameters.
- (16) Archer, S. L.; Shultz, P. J.; Warren, J. B.; Hampl, V.; DeMaster, E. G. Comp. Methods Enzymol. 1996, 7, 21–34.
- (17) PBS buffer = 0.27 M KCl, 0.014 M NaCl, 1.1 mM Na₂HPO₄, and 0.15 mM KH₂PO₄.